i	Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Documen	t 273 Filed 02/16/24 Page 1 of 1
1 2 3 4 5		
6 7	IINITED STAT	ΓES DISTRICT COURT
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
10		
11	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT	Case No. 3:23-MD-3084-CRB
12	LITIGATION	PROPOSED ORDER AS MODIFIED DENYING PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE
13		MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME
14	This Document Relates to:	Judge: Hon. Lisa J. Cisneros
15	ALL ACTIONS	Courtroom: G – 15th Floor
16		
17	Having considered Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Shorten Time for Uber's Response	
18	to Motion to Enforce PTO No. 5 Related to Government Investigation Documents, (the "Motion"),	
19	all other papers and evidence submitted in support and opposition, the pertinent pleadings and	
20	papers on file in this action, and the arguments of counsel, and all other matters presented to the	
21	Court, it is hereby ORDERED that the Motion is DENIED . Uber's Response to the Motion is	
22	due by February 23, 2024. The Court sets a hearing for the matter on February 29, 2024, at 2:30	
23	p.m. via Zoom.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25		$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}}$
26	Dated: February 16, 2024	HON/LISA J. ØISNEROS
27		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		_1_
	OPDER AS MODIFIED DENVING PLAINTIFES?	<u> </u>